



Neighbourhood Partnerships are the route to influence and improve services in the neighbourhood for residents, community organisations, service partners, and where local councillors make decisions about Bristol City Council business

**MEETING OF THE ASHLEY, EASTON AND
LAWRENCE HILL
NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP**

(timings are approximate and at the discretion of the chair)

Date: 12th MARCH 2013

Time: 6.30 - 8.30 pm

**Place: Unitarian Hall, Brunswick Square,
St Paul's**

- 1. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS, APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**
- 2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST** *(Councillors are required to declare any interest which they have on matters on the agenda)*
- 3. MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP HELD ON 27TH NOVEMBER 2012**
 - a. - to confirm as a correct record.
- 4. PUBLIC FORUM**
(Deadline for receipt is 12.00 noon on Monday 11th March 2013. Statements will be heard prior to the agenda item to which they relate)

5. **COMMISSIONING HEALTH SERVICES IN ASHLEY, EASTON AND LAWRENCE HILL – David Soodeen - PRESENTATION**
*Continuing the discussion about the impact of the population increase the implications and how services are planning ahead.
For information.(20 minutes)*
6. **SAFER BRISTOL – Gillian Douglas (Interim Service Director Safer Bristol) and Ian Quaife (Community Cohesion Manager) - PRESENTATION**
*Implications of the population increase for community safety and community cohesion and how Safer Bristol is responding to this.
For information (20 minutes)*
7. **HIGHWAYS REPORT – Shaun Taylor**
For information
8. **NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP REPORT – Penny Germon**
For information and decision)
9. **NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP REVIEW - Penny Germon/All**
Presentation and discussion
10. **DEVOLVED SERVICES REPORT – Penny Germon**
For Information and decision by Ward Councillors (20 mins)

DATE OF NEXT MEETING: 6.30 pm on 26th June 2013

Participating in your Neighbourhood Partnership meetings

Please note that there are several ways in which local people can get involved in the work of this neighbourhood partnership. You can :

- **Attend meetings of the local Neighbourhood Forum** in your ward, where you will be able to raise any issue that is of concern to you as a local resident. The work of the Neighbourhood Forum feeds into the Partnership meeting. Details of when and where Forum meetings are taking place can be found on the Council's website. No invitation to attend or notification of the business you want to raise is necessary. Just turn up on the day and have your say – on anything you want relating to your area.
- **Attend this meeting and comment on any item of business on the agenda**, either by raising your hand at the appropriate time and the Chair will invite you to speak, or by submitting a statement on any matter on the agenda in advance.

If you want to submit a statement, this should be sent to the clerk to the meeting (contact details below) **no later than 12.00 noon on the working day before the meeting**. The statement will where possible, be sent directly to members of the Partnership, and be printed and circulated at the meeting. Statements will normally be heard when the item to which they relate is reached.

Contacts –

The local Area Coordinator is Penny Germon
Telephone: 0117 903 9879
E-mail : neighbourhood.partnerships@bristol.gov.uk

The Democratic Services Officer to the meeting is Richard Jones
Telephone: 0117 922 2386 email: democratic.services@bristol.gov.uk



THIS PAGE HAS BEEN LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY



**ASHLEY, EASTON AND LAWRENCE HILL
NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP
TUESDAY 27TH NOVEMBER 2012 AT 6.30 P.M.
AT UNITARIAN HALL, BRUNSWICK SQUARE, BRISTOL**

PRESENT:

	03 07 12	25.09.12	27 11 12	
COUNCILLORS				
Councillor F Choudhury	P	P	P	
Councillor M Hickman	P	P	P	
Councillor G Hoyt	P	P	P	
Councillor B Hugill	A	A	A	
Councillor J Kiely	P	P	A	
Councillor J Rogers	P	P	P	
PARTNERSHIP MEMBERS				
Mike Pickering (Goodpenny, Lawrence Hill)	P	P	A	
Mike Chappell (Redcliffe, Lawrence Hill/SRWG)	P	A	A	
Vacant (Redcliffe, Lawrence Hill)				
Dominic Murphy (The Dings, Lawrence Hill) Deputy Chair	P	P	P	
Hannah Purbrick (Central, Easton)	A	P	A	
Anna McMullen (Central, Easton)		P	A	
Vacant (Central, Easton)				
Vacant (Central, Easton)				
Jan Deverell (Greenbank, Easton)	A	A	A	
Alex Milne (Redfield, Easton)	P	P	P	
Joyce Clark (Redfield, Easton)	P	P	A	
Jo Curtis (Redfield, Easton)	P	P	A	
Musnilya Babatunji (St Pauls, Ashley)	P	P	A	
Lisa Blackwood (St Pauls, Ashley)	A	P	A	
Vacant (St Pauls, Ashley)				
Jackie Griffith (St Pauls, Ashley)	P	P	P	
Maryanne Kempf (St Pauls, Ashley)	P	P	P	
Vacant (St Pauls, Ashley)				
Mohamud Mumin (St Pauls, Ashley)	P	A	P	
Pete Bullard (St Pauls, Ashley)	A	P	P	
Lori Streich (St Werburghs, Ashley)		P	P	
Henry Bassadone (St Werburghs, Ashley)	P	A	P	
Vacant (Montpelier, Ashley)				
Vacant (St Andrews, Ashley)				
Peers Woollen (Equalities Rep)	P	P	P	
Vacant (Equalities Rep)				
Vishal Mangai (Youth Rep)		P	P	
Ramal Royal (Youth Rep)			P	
Community/Voluntary Sector Partners				
Richard Curtis (Church Road Action Group)	P	P	P	
Rev Barrie Green (St Pauls, Faith Rep)	P	P	P	
Joanna Holmes (Barton Hill Settlement)	P	A	P	
Ian Lawry/Margaret Castle (Wellspring)	A	A	P	
Abdullahi Farah (Somali Resource Centre)	A	P	A	
Business/Private Sector Partners				
Jane Brewerton (Traders, Stokes Croft)	P	A	P	
Abdul Malik (Traders, Easton)	A	A	A	

	03 07 12	25.09.12	27 11 12	
Sonny Richard (Retailer, Lawrence Hill)			A	
Vacant (Retailer, National/Multi National)				
Vacant (business, non retail)				
Vacant (business, non retail)				
Statutory Providers Partners				
Vacancy (Registered Social Landlord)				
Chris Regan/David Deakin (A and S Police)	P	P	P	
Lindsey Dowdell (EC3, CYPS)	A	P	A	
Mohammed Elsherif (NHS Bristol)	A	A	A	
Officers Supporting the Partnership				
Penny Germon (Neighbourhood Manager)	P	P	P	
Richard Jones (Democratic Services)	P	P	P	
Richard Fletcher (Environment & Leisure)	P	P		
Shaun Taylor (Traffic Management)	P	A		
Andrew Whitehead (Traffic Management)	P	A		
Tracey Morgan (Neighbourhoods, BCC)		P		
Trudy Feeney (Neighbourhoods, BCC)		P		
Gemma Dando (Neighbourhoods, BCC)		P		
Gillian Douglas (Neighbourhoods, BCC)		P		
Gary Collins (City Development, BCC)			P	
Residents in Attendance Making Statements				

1. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS, APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Maryanne, welcomed all to the meeting, and following introductions received apologies.

Maryanne acknowledged the change of leadership arrangements in the Council following the election of George Ferguson as the new elected Mayor and proposed that he be invited to meeting the Neighbourhood Partnership as soon as possible.

RESOLVED

Democratic Services to contact the Mayor's Office and arrange for the Mayor to attend a future meeting as soon as possible.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST - None.

3. MINUTES OF THE NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP HELD ON 25th SEPTEMBER 2012

AGREED: that the minutes of the meeting held on 25th September 2012 were confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

Subject to the following amendment on Page 2, 1(a) should read Vishal Mamgai rather than "Vishal Mangai".

4. PUBLIC FORUM

The Partnership noted the following public Forum Statements:

Agenda Item No	Issue	Name	Statement No
	Progress Tracker	Jo Curtis	1

Maryanne acknowledged the statement from Jo Curtis and wanted to reassure Jo that the issues being raised are of concern to many people at the table and were being taken very seriously.

The Neighbourhood Partnership noted that a progress tracker is being introduced (to be included in the papers for the next meeting) which will record any appropriate actions from the meeting, the person responsible for the actions, and a timescale for implementation/review feedback on progress.

5. DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT

The Neighbourhood Partnership received a verbal presentation (Agenda Item No. 5) from Gary Collins, Service Manager, Planning as an overview of how the City Council Planning Authority assesses the potential impact of a planning application and taking into account local circumstances. Gary circulated a copy of the presentation for information.

During discussion of the report and questions the following issues were highlighted:

- It was noted that two of the Neighbourhood Partnership wards (Lawrence Hill (+5,763) and Ashley (+2,803) were in the top five wards reflecting population growth since the last published census figures in 2001;
- The Planning Officer indicated that as part of the Council's Core Strategy, it aspired to increase housing in Bristol by 30,600 by 2026;
- It had been noted that more than 8,000 housing units have been either built or approved upto October 2012;
- Councillor Hickman sought clarification on the breakdown of family housing in the Partnership area, and the number of flats which had been granted approval, it was agreed that this would be circulated in due course;
- The Planning officer asked the Partnership to take particular note of the Council's Planning Policy BCS18 – Housing type:

All new residential developments should maintain, provide or contribute to a mix of housing tenure, type and size to help support the creation of mixed, balanced and inclusive communities.

To achieve an appropriate tenure, type and size mix the development should aim to:

- *Address affordable housing need and housing demand;*
- *Contribute to the diversity of housing in the local area and help to redress any housing imbalances that exists;*

- *Respond to the requirements of a changing population; and*
 - *Employ imaginative design solutions.*
- It was noted that planning approvals made after 20th December 2012, would no longer be subject to Section 106 agreements, but would have a 'Community Infrastructure Levy'. The details of which were approved by the Council at its meeting on 18th September 2012;
 - In response to a question, it was noted that other departments in the Council used the census information as part of their planning for future service provision;
 - Ramal and Vishual asked the Partnership to note their particular concerns relating to the youth provision in Lawrence Hill Ward, which had seen the highest increase in population since the last census;
 - The Partnership commented that there should be better links in service provision given the demographic challenges particularly on health, housing, schools and transport.

Maryanne thanked Gary Collins on behalf of the Partnership for his attendance and presentation.

It was noted that the next meeting will look at another area of public sector provision.

Following the discussion it was **RESOLVED – that the report and comments be noted.**

6. NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP REPORT

The Neighbourhood Partnership considered a report of Penny Germon, Area Co-ordinator, Neighbourhoods & Communities, Neighbourhoods Directorate (Agenda Item No. 6) giving an update on the following issues since the last meeting.

The Partnership was asked to consider the draft Neighbourhood Charter, which sought to help people understand and get the most out of City Council services.

Members were asked to consider the following questions as part of looking at the draft charter:

- *What do you think of the overall idea?*
- *Does it work for you? What works? What doesn't?*
- *What do you think of the layout?*
- *What do you think of the content?*
- *Does it focus on the right things for the area?*
- *Is there anything missing?*

RESOLVED:

- (1) that the Neighbourhood Forum feedback and the key issues raised be noted;**
- (2) that the update from the Stapleton Road Working Group be noted;**
- (3) that the update regarding the Environment Group and Traffic and Highways Sub Group be noted;**
- (4) that the update about the commissioning of Community Transport be noted;**
- (5) that the provisional dates for the NP 2013/14 be noted as**
26 June 2013
25 September 2013
11 December 2013
5 March 2014

and noted the changed date to 12 March 2013
- (6) that Vishal Mangai and Ramal Royal (Young People reps) be formally welcomed to the Partnership and that Sonny Richards (Lawrence Hill trader) be elected to the Partnership;**
- (7) that the draft 'neighbourhood working' leaflet be considered and feedback provided. The Neighbourhood Partnership would see a further draft of the document prior to its publication; and**
- (8) that the Neighbourhood Working pilot priorities be agreed.**

7. DEVOLVED SERVICES REPORT

The Neighbourhood Partnership considered a report of Penny Germon, Area Co-ordinator, Neighbourhoods and Communities (Agenda Item No. 7) setting out the devolved services report.

Councillor Rogers requested an update about the Residents Parking Scheme for St Pauls. He referred to the Drummonds Road Scheme which has been put on hold subject to the outcome of the consultation about the Residents Parking Scheme.

It was noted that Councillor Dr Rogers abstained from the decision on the Wellbeing recommendation (recommendation 4 below) having declared an interest as a member of Full Circle.

RESOLVED:

- (1) that the projects funded by the Clean and Green Board be noted;**
- (2) that the Neighbourhood Partnership Councillors approved the request for the devolved clean and green budget;**
- (3) that it be agreed that Lilia Park be added to the Parks and Green Space investment priorities;**
- (4) that the recommendations of the Wellbeing Grants Panel be agreed including an additional £2,389 of Wellbeing Fund currently unallocated;**
- (5) that the traffic and highways progress report be noted;**
- (6) that an update report be submitted on the Residents Parking Scheme for St Pauls;**
- (7) that the availability of devolved S106 funding be noted;**
- (8) that the Section 106 funding for a scheme to improve bus shelters on Cheltenham Road be approved; and**
- (9) that the update from Safer Bristol and information about the project to improve the area under the M32 be noted .**

8. DATE OF THE NEXT MEETING

Noted that the next meeting would be held on Tuesday 12th March 2013 at Unitarian Hall, Brunswick Square.

(The meeting ended at 8.25 p.m.)

CHAIR



AGENDA ITEM NO. 7

ASHLEY, EASTON & LAWRENCE HILL NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP

12th March 2013

Report of: Service Director – Transport Service

Title: Devolved Transport Schemes for 2013/14

Officer presenting report: Gareth Vaughan Williams / Area Manager,
Highways and Traffic, Transport Service

Contact Telephone Number:

RECOMMENDATION

1. The Neighbourhood Committee is asked to agree the 2013/14 work programmes for carriageway surface dressing
2. The Neighbourhood Partnership is asked to note that footway schemes are delayed until later in the year.
3. The Neighbourhood Partnership is asked to note the schemes that will be delivered in the Ashley, Easton and Lawrence Hill NP area in 2013/14.
4. The Neighbourhood Partnership is asked to note that a pause in decision making of 12 months is needed in order to deliver this year's work programme. The team are committed to using the pause to deliver all outstanding schemes in the area. Devolved funding will be carried forward and identification of new schemes will start in late 2013.
5. The Neighbourhood Partnership is asked to note that s106 traffic management schemes with deadlines before July 2014 can be chosen during this period and will be programmed in to the work schedule (remove if not relevant).

Carriageway surface dressing – (sufficient funding is available to deliver the priorities listed below)

1. Carriageway surface dressing is a needs-based maintenance technique aimed at preserving the existing surface of the carriageway, rather than replacing it. The funding devolved to the NP's has, therefore, been split on a city-wide basis between the roads most in need of surface dressing. This approach does mean that some NP's will have more surface dressing works than others, but it does ensure that the worst problems throughout the city are addressed.
2. Having due regard for the condition of other roads in the city (as explained above), the roads listed below are those identified as being most in need of attention in this NP area. This is based on routine inspections and assessments carried out by our Highway Officers.

Ref	Location	Ward	Estimated cost
1	Whitehall Road	Easton	£16,200
2	Avon Street	Lawrence Hill	£7,500
3	Three Queens Lane	Lawrence Hill	£3,600

Footway maintenance schemes

3. Work on footways maintenance schemes is delayed until later in the year. An update on this will be provided in June 2013.

Local traffic schemes

4. Prior to devolution, the traffic management teams delivered 12-15 schemes per year. Since devolution, NPs have chosen 40-50 schemes per year to be delivered by traffic management. No additional staff are available to deliver these schemes, and recently 5-6 officers have left the teams which has added to remaining officers' workload. At the same time, additional work to deliver the highways infrastructure investment of £1m has been created for the teams.
5. This has resulted in a backlog in delivering local traffic schemes in neighbourhoods. A pause in decision making is needed for 2013-14 to enable all work to be finished. The traffic management teams have committed to using this pause in order to complete the outstanding schemes.
6. Unspent devolved budgets will be carried forward, meaning that in April 2014 your Neighbourhood Partnership will have £xx,xxx to spend on local traffic schemes.

7. The schemes that will be delivered in Ashley, Easton & Lawrence Hill NP for the coming year are set out in the table below. The schemes include local traffic schemes, s106 schemes, local sustainable transport schemes and other relevant schemes in the area.

Scheme / location	Current status (in progress/not yet started)	Estimated completion date	Other
Parking restriction review Chelsea Road	Substantially complete	March 2013	Devolved NP funding
Junction improvements Ashley Hill / Cobourg Road	Substantially complete	March 2013	Devolved NP funding
DIY Streets – Victoria Parade	Design work with residents ongoing	September 2013	Devolved NP funding
One Way & Parking Restrictions – Brook Hill	Design work complete. TRO process to follow	February 2014 (subject to TRO)	Devolved NP funding
Traffic Calming & Prohibition of Driving – Westminster Road	Public consultation	Currently unknown	Devolved NP funding
Parking restriction review – Redfield north (Mary Street Area).	Internal consultation	September 2013 (feasibility study only)	Devolved NP funding
Parking restriction review – Redfield south (Worsley Street Area).	Preliminary design	December 2013 (Subject to TRO)	Devolved NP funding
Traffic calming feasibility study – High Street	Preliminary design	December 2013 (feasibility study only)	Devolved NP funding
Traffic calming feasibility study – Hinton Road	Preliminary design	December 2013 (feasibility study only)	Devolved NP funding
Measures to deter through traffic and pedestrian improvements, St Werburghs area.	Design and surveys ongoing	2014/2015	IBFF / NP matched funding
Pedestrian crossing, Ashley Hill	No progress	March 2014	IBFF funding
Junction improvement, Bristol to Bath Railway Path (near Whitehall Primary School)	No progress (scheme to be promoted by Sustrans)	March 2014	IBFF funding (and Sustrans support)_
Parking restriction review – St Phillips Industrial Area	TRO process	Autumn 2013 (Subject TRO)	S106 funding

Parking restriction review – Barton Hill / Beam Street Area	TRO process	Spring 2013 (Subject TRO)	S106 funding
Prohibition of driving – Picton lane	TRO process	Spring 2013 (Subject TRO)	S106 funding
Parking restriction review – Bath Buildings / St Andrews Road	TRO process	Spring 2013 (Subject TRO)	S106 funding
Easton & St Phillips RPS	TRO process	Summer 2013 (Subject TRO)	Transport Service corporate project
St Pauls RPS	Public Consultation	Not known	Transport Service corporate project
Improved cycling facilities, Redcliffe Hill	Preliminary design	Currently unknown	LSTF funding
Improved pedestrian / cycling facilities, Easton Way	Preliminary design	Currently unknown	LSTF funding
Segregated cycling facilities, Clarence Road / Commercial Road	Preliminary design	Currently unknown	LSTF funding
Improved cycling facilities, Bond Street / Temple Street / Temple Gate	Preliminary design	Feasibility only	LSTF funding
Widening of existing pedestrian crossing, Bond Street South	Preliminary design	Feasibility only	LSTF funding
Improved pedestrian and cycling links, Post Office Site (Enterprise Zone)	Preliminary design	Feasibility only	LSTF funding
Widen Bristol to Bath Railway Path, CPO VOSA site	Preliminary design	Currently unknown	LSTF funding
Create new link to Bristol to Bath Railway Path, CPO industrial estate	Preliminary design	Currently unknown	LSTF funding
Improved cycling / pedestrian facilities, St. Philips Road	Preliminary design	Currently unknown	LSTF funding
Street furniture, Barrow Road	Work to commence shortly	March 2013	LSTF funding
Improved cycling / pedestrian link, Hassell Drive Open Space	Work to commence shortly	March 2013	LSTF funding

Various measures, Old Market	Preliminary design / discussions	Currently unknown	LSTF funding
------------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------	--------------

8. Neighbourhood Partnerships can proceed with decision-making on s106 local traffic schemes with deadlines of July 2014 or before. Schemes for your area with deadlines on or before July 2014 are below:

Development site	Purpose of s106 contribution	Contribution value	Date to be spent/committed by
Former FPS Site, Waterloo Road, Old Market	Environmental improvement works to Waterloo Street and its vicinity	54917.88	08/12/2014

9. Transport s106 schemes that aren't delivered by traffic management – for example public transport schemes, bus stops – can proceed as normal. Potential schemes that you might like to work on are detailed below:

Development site	Purpose of s106 contribution	Contribution value	Date to be spent/committed by

Narrow estate road schemes (Dundry View and Henbury and Southmead only)

10. The 2012-13 Budget has yet to be allocated. We propose to complete existing commitments but not to specify new schemes for 2013/14, some of the 2012-13 budget will be required in order to do this. The remainder of the 2012-13 budget along with the 2013-14 budget will be rolled forward to 2014-15 and will be distributed across NPs with the most pressing need for this type of work.

Equality Impact Assessment

Road Surface Dressing: There are no specific implications – positive or negative – for equalities groups arising from the deliver of the surface dressing proposals other than the general benefit of maintaining the quality of the highways which applies to everyone. There is no anticipated impact because the roads that get surface-dressed are already of reasonable quality, and therefore pose no risk.

Delaying Footway maintenance decisions: There is a potential negative impact in delaying footway maintenance improvements because they are¹⁵

likely to deliver benefits in increased safety and accessibility. By their very nature these works particularly benefit disabled and Older people by mitigating footway hazards. The main mitigation we recommend is to bring forward the decisions on footways at the earliest time in the 2013/14 NP meeting cycle.

The focus on delivery of all the outstanding highways schemes over the coming year will have a positive impact on equalities groups as many of them have positive outcomes for accessibility of roads and footways.

There may also be a negative impact in that newly identified schemes, many of which have been awaiting delivery for some time and which also aim to deliver improved access and safety, cannot be delivered in this time period. The main mitigation is to ensure that the backlog is cleared and that this enables schemes to be delivered in a timely manner in future. In future reports a consideration of the equalities impact of each scheme will be brought forward prior to the decision-making point in the NP report.

Local Traffic Schemes (including residual schemes)				Overall budget (including carry forward):								
NP approval	Scheme Ref	Description / Location	Ward	Scheme status / current position	Lead officer	2012 / 13 budget	Current estimate of final cost	Actual Works costs 2012/13 (to date)	Actual Staff costs 2012/13 (to date)	Final cost 2012/13	Variance to 2012/13 budget	Notes / comments
Mar-12		Minor lines and signs	Area wide	Ongoing	AJS	£2,250.00	£2,250.00	£1,200.00	£263.04	£1,463.04	£786.96	
	RS11073	Footway improvement feasibility study, Whitehall Road	Easton	Completed	AJS	£2,500.00		£0.00	£3,549.14	£3,549.14	£-1,049.14	Feasibility presented July 2012 and LSTF bid submitted
	10TM073	Waiting restriction review, Chelsea Road	Easton	TRO complete, Lining implementation substantially complete. Associated signing due early 2013	AJS	£7,500.00	£7,000.00	£1,278.30	£1,969.86	£3,248.16	£4,251.84	Final TRO sealing date is 22 October'12, Lining substantially completed during w/c 3 Dec'12. Signing cost and final legal cost to be added
	RS11069	Junction improvement and cycling measures, Ashley Hill / Cobourg Road	Ashley	Complete. Tree planted in early Feb 2013	AJS	£2,500.00	£2,500.00	£3,142.68	£256.12	£3,398.80	£-898.80	Order for 2583.13+vat was sent out. £3142.68 + vat paid on 17 September'12.
	RS11072	DIY streets, Victoria Parade	Easton	Residents design has been circulated internally for comment and comments received are currently being considered before feeding back to residents group before the end of Feb 2013.	AJS	£5,000.00	£5,000.00	£1,000.00	£296.11	£1,296.11	£3,703.89	Design meeting held with residents group on 18 November'12. Residents design at QA during Jan'13. Spring implementation subject to QA etc.
Mar-12	RS12022	One-way and parking restrictions, Brook Hill	Ashley	Design changes being made following initial consultation and additional parking restrictions associated with Albany Green park development before submission to TRO Team	AJS	£7,000.00			£0.00	£0.00	£7,000.00	
Mar-12	RS12024	Road closure / POD & waiting restrictions, Ashley Sevier Street.		Design ongoing with St Werburghs Action Group. SWAG doing door step consultation during Dec or Jan. Update meeting to be organised before end of Feb 2013	AJS				£602.45	£602.45	£-602.45	Design meeting held with residents group on 18 November'12.
Mar-12	RS12023	Road closure / POD & waiting restrictions, Ashley Magdalene Place		Deatiled traffic surveys carried out in November 2012. Summary of Video surveys and data currently produced. Outcome will determine design to be taken forward. Update meeting to be organised before end of Feb 2013	AJS	£12,776.00			£307.37	£307.37	£12,468.63	7776 2012/13 + 5000 2011/12

Mar-12	RS12025	Environmental improvements, Mina Road	Ashley	Design ongoing with St Werburghs Action Group	AJS		£201.63	£201.63	-£201.63	Design meeting held with residents group on 18 November'12.
Mar-12	RS11071	Traffic calming - POD & waiting restrictions, Westminster Road	Easton	Design consultation done in October'12. Responses and petitions to be considered. No timescale confirmed.	AJS	5000 + 8500 S106	£2,980.73	£2,980.73	#VALUE!	Design meeting held with residents group on 22 August'12. Closing date for comments on 2 Nov'12.
Mar-12	RS12027	Waiting restriction review (feasibility only), Redfield North (Mary Street area)	Easton	Draft design completed. Scheme to be circulated internally for comment before scheme recommendations are handed to NP for future funding consideration.	AJS	£3,000.00	£540.97	£540.97	£2,459.03	
Mar-12	RS12029	Waiting restriction review, Redfield South (Worsley Street Area)	Easton	Draft design work ongoing. Consultation anticipated in April 2013.	AJS	£5,000.00	£49.18	£49.18	£4,950.82	
10TM075	Traffic calming feasibility study, Goodhind Street	Easton		AJS	£5,000.00		£0.00	£5,000.00	On hold due to RPS	
10TM076	Waiting restriction review, Grosvernor Road	Easton		AJS	£7,500.00		£0.00	£7,500.00	On hold due to RPS	
Mar-12	RS12026	Traffic calming feasibility study, High Street	Easton	Questionnaires sent to residents. Responses to be analysed in December'12	AJS	£3,000.00	£244.75	£244.75	£2,755.25	Leaflet sent out 29 August'12
Mar-12	RS12028	Traffic calming feasibility study, Hinton Road	Easton	Questionnaires sent to residents. Responses to be analysed in December'12	AJS	3000	£195.57	£195.57	£2,804.43	Leaflet sent out 29 August'12

Totals

£66,026.00 £16,750.00 £6,620.98 £11,456.92 £18,077.90 #VALUE!

Additional information

Scheme	Notes
--------	-------

Narrow Estate Roads	Overall budget (including carry forward):
---------------------	---

NP approval	Highways Ref No	Description / Location	Ward	Scheme status / current position	Lead officer	2012 / 13 budget	Current estimate of final cost	Actual Works costs 2012/13 (to date)	Actual Staff costs 2012/13 (to date)	Final cost 2012/13	Variance to 2012/13 budget	Notes / comments
-------------	-----------------	------------------------	------	----------------------------------	--------------	------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------	----------------------------	------------------

Totals						£0.00		£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
--------	--	--	--	--	--	-------	--	-------	-------	-------	-------	--

Additional information

Scheme	Notes
--------	-------

THIS PAGE HAS BEEN LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

Section 106 schemes Overall budget:

Scheme Ref	Spend date	Description / Location	Ward	Scheme status / current position	Lead officer	2012 / 13 budget	Current estimate of final cost	Actual Works costs 2012/13 (to date)	Actual Staff costs 2012/13 (to date)	Final cost 2012/13	Variance to 2012/13 budget	Notes / comments
RS11064		Parking restrictions, Cheltenham Lane	Ashley	Complete	AJS	£2,500	£4,000		830.84	830.84	1669.16	Missing double yellows to be painted after cobble reinstatement
10TM074		Parking restrictions, St Phillips Area	Ashley	Design changes made and restrictions removed wherever possible without compromising original aims of scheme. TRO instruction to be issued to legal Team during week commencing 18 Feb'13	AJS	TBC	£12,000		6765.21	6765.21	#VALUE!	Proposals leaflet sent out to businesses during week commencing 10 September'12. Scheme revisions to be made during 1st quarter of 2013 before TRO instruction
10TM080		Parking restrictions, Beam Street Area	Lawrence Hill	Objections / recommendations report being compiled following statutory advertisement.	AJS	£2,500	£8,500		1852.48	1852.48	647.52	Public Advertisement September'12. Objections received, therefore, report to be compiled for decisions during 1st quarter of 2013.
RS11063		Prohibition of Driving / Road Closure, Picton Lane	Ashley	Objections / recommendations report being compiled following statutory advertisement.	AJS	£2,500	£6,000		1110.16	1110.16	1389.84	Shared budget with 09TM50, funding for implementation may need to come from NP MSL budget or other.
09TM050		Bath Buildings, Waiting restriction review	Ashley	Objections / recommendations report being compiled following statutory advertisement.	AJS	£2,500	£6,000		1574.61	1574.61	925.39	Objections received, therefore, minor design changes to be made and report to be compiled for decision during 1st quarter of 2013.
		Cycle parking, Victoria Street	Lawrence Hill		NP		£5,472.68					
		Cycle Parking, Queen Square	Cabot		NP		£1,000.00					
		Drummond Road	Ashley	Parking Restrictions	AJS	£6,500.00						On hold due to RPS

Totals							£22,972.68					
---------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	-------------------	--	--	--	--	--

Additional information

Scheme	Notes
--------	-------

THIS PAGE HAS BEEN LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

Footway Maintenance				Overall budget (including carry forward):						
NP approval	Scheme ref	Location	Ward	Details / scheme status / current position	Lead officer	2012/13 budget	Final cost in 2012/13	Variance to 2012/13 budget	Completed date	Notes / comments
Mar-12		Various locations, Redcliff Street	Lawrence Hill	Not started		£40,000				
Mar-12		Various locations, Cumberland Street	Ashley	Complete		£8,000	£8,852.47			
Mar-12		Various locations, Hepburn Road / Gwyn Street	Ashley	Ongoing		£15,000				
Totals						£63,000.00	£8,852.47	£54,147.53		

THIS PAGE HAS BEEN LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

Carriageway Surface Dressing	Overall budget (including carry forward):
-------------------------------------	--

NP approval	Scheme ref	Location	Ward	Surfacing details	Lead officer	2012/13 budget	Area (m2)	Rate	Final cost	Variance to 2012/13 budget	Date completed	Notes / comments
Mar-12		Chesterfield Road, Cromwell Road to Sommerville	Ashley				1684		£5,564.90		Aug-12	
Mar-12		Feeder Road, Netham Bridge to Marsh Lane	Lawrence Hill				1621		£5,363.00		Aug-12	
Mar-12		Russell Town Avenue, Church Road to Whitehall Road	Easton				1044		£3,332.00		Aug-12	
Totals						£0.00	4,349.00		£14,259.90	£0.00		

THIS PAGE HAS BEEN LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY



AGENDA ITEM NO 8

ASHLEY, EASTON & LAWRENCE HILL NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP Tuesday 12th March

Report of: Penny Germon, Area Coordinator, Neighbourhoods & Communities,
Neighbourhoods Directorate.

Title: Neighbourhood Partnership Report

Contact Telephone Number: 9039879 (or for internal EXTN 39879)

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Note the Neighbourhood Forum feedback
2. To note the outcome of the planning tribunal regarding the sub division of family housing in Easton and Lawrence Hill.
3. Note the update from the
Stapleton Road Working Group
Environment Group
Traffic and Highways Sub Groups
4. Elect Cristina Crossingham (Resident E&LH), Knightstone Housing Association (social housing representative) and community and voluntary sector nominations
5. Note performance management action tracker
6. Neighbourhood Charter – consider feedback from BCC and alternative approaches.
7. Neighbourhood Working Priorities – consider and agree the way forward
8. Note information about Celebrating Age

1. Neighbourhood Forums

Ashley Forum (35 People attended)

Brunswick Cemetery

Police put forward the idea of locking the gates at the Brunswick Square entrance to the cemetery from dusk 'til dawn to deter drinkers, sex workers and drug addicts from using the cemetery at night. General feeling at the forum was that this would be a backward step and could make the area more attractive because it will feel 'safer'. There will be an article in the St Pauls Unlimited newsletter.

Easton and Lawrence Hill (24th Jan attended by 56 people)

Presentation of the plans for the Enterprise Zone

The Enterprise Zone is about developing the derelict wasteland around Temple Meads, creating jobs, improving the railway station, making Temple Meads the gateway to the city, promoting and connecting this area with rest of city (cycle and walking) and improving rail links to London.

For details: www.bristoltemplequarter.com

Old Market Community Association

They have been awarded £300,000 to look at the long term reconfiguring of the traffic system to make the road more community friendly

OMCA is also working on a Neighbourhood Plan (official term for a planning/development management document) to incorporate these ideas and the vision for Old Market. Do you have ideas for the plan for Old Market? Send them to paul.bradburn@me.com or pop into Arts West Side on West Street

Lamb Street cycle path

Plans to create a wide path for cyclists and pedestrians along Lamb Street were considered. This is a project which is taking advantage of upgrading the traffic signals/crossing and that Bristol Water are digging up the road. The project will remove a small section from the park in the area of the bus stop.

Both Forums

Junction 3

Due to be completed by March this year with celebrations planned for the day of the opening. New library (replaces the library at Trinity), 60 homes on the site (one, two and four bed properties) available for shared ownership starting at £50,000 for 50% of the property and 30 homes for rental through Home Choice Bristol
Of the six business units five will be let to small businesses and companies via a letting agent restricted to a B1 classification. The remaining unit will be used by Knightstone Housing Association for community orientated events.

At the Ashley Forum concerns were raised regarding the slip road from the M32 at a point where pedestrians and cyclists cross frequently. Traffic can be fast and a safer crossing would need to be considered. Cllr John Rogers has agreed to raise this concern with the relevant people.

‘Playing Out’

Project supporting people who want to create a safe place for play in their road for short periods, for example, after school for children to play. The project provides help and support to residents who want to come together to make their street child friendly and facilitate community cohesion. hello@playingout.net

2. Planning/Development Management (see appendix 1)

At the last NP meeting Gary Collins gave a presentation about Development Management (often referred to as ‘planning’) which explained how decisions are made and the links with service providers and how they plan. A key issue is the sub division of family homes (in particular the popular turn of the century terraced housing which is a valued characteristic of the area).

The Council turned down an application. The applicant took the Council to a tribunal which found against the City Council and granted the planning application.

The City Council Planning Committee will need to take account of the tribunal findings in future.

Recommendation

To note the tribunals decision and the implications for future decisions.

3. NP Sub Groups

Stapleton Road Working Group

SRWG has changed the way it works. The main partnership meetings are now bi-monthly and in between these meetings there are ‘project meetings’ to progress key projects including; Arts and Events and the Stapleton Road/Easton Way junction.

Key actions:

Police reported that crime down by 21%.

Following complaints about lighting at the Trinity end of Stapleton Road in the area of the Wild Goose the trees have been cut back and changes to some of the lighting columns so they are not in the crown of the tree will be carried out in the new financial year.

Action has been taken on Villiers Road with residents to increase recycling and

encourage responsible disposal of waste.

Following the implementation of the Greater Bristol Bus Network some of the parking restrictions have been reviewed in consultation with traders and local residents.

A programme of arts events and community outreach is also underway.

Traffic and Transport Sub Group

The sub group will have met three times. The meeting on the 5th March will finalise priorities. Notes of the meetings held in December are attached in **appendix 2**.

Environment Sub Group

A meeting took place on 19th November. A bereavement, staff changes and sick leave have meant the notes of this meeting are not yet available. Once staffing has been confirmed the sub group will reconvene.

4. New Neighbourhood Partnership members

Resident places:

Henry Bassadone, Ashley resident is resigning from his position at the NP because he is moving out of the area.

Resident nomination

Easton and Lawrence Hill Forum have nominated Cristina Crossingham as a resident for the Easton ward.

Social housing sector (replacement for Terry Black Sovereign)

Having consulted some of the larger and more active associations in the NP area Knightstone Housing Association have been nominated to represent the sector but also ensure the sector is contributing positively to addressing the NP priorities.

Community and voluntary sector nominations

Community and voluntary sector groups were invited to put forward nominations for 6 places at the NP. Group were asked to demonstrate how they would support the NP to deliver its priorities and/or increase representation from under represented equality groups.

Nominations were received from:

- a. Wellspring Healthy Living Centre (existing member)
- b. Church Road Action Group (existing member)
- c. Somali Resource Centre (existing member)
- d. Old Market Community Association

- e. Full Circle Youth and Family Project (St Pauls/Ashley)
- f. St Werburghs Community Assn
- g. Bilingual Family Project (Easton & Lawrence Hill)
- h. Creative Souls (St Pauls/Ashley)

As agreed at the last meeting the nominations were considered by Maryanne, Dom and Gus who propose the NP should elect all 8 organisations.

Recommendation

Endorse the nomination of Cristina Crossingham from the Easton Ward

Endorse nomination from Knightstone Housing Association on behalf of the social housing sector

Elect the 8 community and voluntary organisations which have submitted nominations.

5. Performance Management Action Tracker – appendix 4

For information

6. Neighbourhood Working Charter

In response to the feedback from NP's the 'neighbourhood charter' is not being pursued in the style/format presented at the last meeting.

The City Council would like to find an effective way of communicating about neighbourhood working and helping people to feel informed about the services available in the area and welcomes feedback.

Below are two suggestions about how to move forward. An underlying principle would be to use existing media/communication channels where they exist.

Idea 1

Simple information about services/what people can expect in the areas that consistently come up which can be circulated at Forums, through newsletter, websites, notice boards. This list can be added to over time.

See Appendix 3 for draft

Idea 2

Two sides of a4 'news sheet' reporting on specific actions directed at the people most affected/in the surrounding area. This information can also be reported in existing media.

7. Neighbourhood Working priorities for Ashley, Easton and Lawrence Hill

The Neighbourhood Partnership is being asked to identify up to 10 (ish) priorities for *neighbourhood working* for 2013/2014 to be agreed at the June meeting.

What are 'Neighbourhood Working' priorities?

Neighbourhood working is evolving and but at the moment the team includes locally delivered services covered by Neighbourhoods and City Development - neighbourhood engagement work, parks, environment, development, enforcement, street based, premises based issues. The NP has direct influence on the work of the Neighbourhood Working team. The agreed priorities will form the work plan for the team. The NP will receive progress reports.

This approach will replace 'service specific' plans/priorities e.g. the delivery plan for Safer Bristol.

What about priorities outside the scope of neighbourhood working?

This is also an opportunity to review the other priorities of particular importance to the area, for example, primary school places or planning.

The different between the two types of priorities is currently about the level of influence. The priorities not in scope of the neighbourhood working approach are likely to require greater involvement from NP members to drive forward. The level of influence the NP has on some of these issues may also change as a result of the NP review so is an evolving picture.

Next steps - The process for identifying priorities will include:

- Issues of concern to high numbers of people or regularly raised at Neighbourhood Forums
- Priority issues from Councillor case work
- Existing NP priorities/feedback from sub groups
- Feedback from Police, Safer Bristol, Area Environment Officers, Cleansing Officers and other neighbourhood working team members.
- Public consultation

It is proposed a long list (i.e. 20 priorities) is drawn up from the sources above which is then taken out for comment/consultation through neighbourhood forums, outreach work and social media. People will be able to add or remove priorities.

The forums will be the focal point for considering local priorities. At each NF we will present information about the area and what has been learned from the community consultation, discussion groups will identify priorities. The NF's will make recommendations to the NP.

Ashley Forum – Wednesday 17th April, 6.30-8.30pm
Easton and Lawrence Hill Forum – Wednesday 8th May, 6.30-8.30

A short list will be considered at the NP meeting in June.

Each priority must be evidence based through relevant data (for example number of complaints) or from community engagement (number of people who said x is a priority).

Recommendation

Consider and agree the process for identifying and agreeing priorities for 2013/2014.

8. Celebrating Age Festival

The Celebrating Age festival planning for 2013 is underway and the funding applications for up to £200 are ready to be circulated.

Key details about the festival for 2013

Dates: Monday 24th June to Sunday 7th July.

Launch: Monday 24th June, possibly at Junction 3 (*Details to be confirmed*)

Main event: Saturday 29th June, 10am to 4pm, City Hall.

Theme: Brilliant in Bristol - many neighbourhoods, many cultures, one city.

Grants: Up to £200 per organisation. Deadline is 17th April.

Decisions by 24th April. We are looking for at least one event per NP

Application form is attached.

Neighbourhood events promotion: All grant recipients will have their events promoted as part of the festival brochure. There is also an offer to promote any older people's activities taking place in the festival period in the celebrating age booklet regardless of whether they are funded by the festival. We will need details of all neighbourhood events by 24th April.

Older people's awards: The event on 29th June will have an awards ceremony for older people. Lorna is currently putting together the application form for this. Please can you help to circulate this. Deadline for nominations is likely to be in May.

THIS PAGE HAS BEEN LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

Appeal Decision

Site visit made on 17 December 2012

by Sara Morgan LLB (Hons) MA Solicitor

an Inspector appointed by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government

Decision date: 10 January 2013

Appeal Ref: APP/Z0116/A/12/2182196
81 Gilbert Road, Redfield, Bristol BS5 9DS

- The appeal is made under section 78 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against a refusal to grant planning permission.
 - The appeal is made by Mr Mark Clemmings against the decision of Bristol City Council.
 - The application Ref 12/00764/F, dated 27 February 2012, was refused by notice dated 10 May 2012.
 - The development proposed is change of use from single dwelling house to 2No. self contained flats.
-

Decision

1. The appeal is allowed and planning permission is granted for change of use from single dwelling house to 2No. self contained flats at 81 Gilbert Road, Redfield, Bristol BS5 9DS in accordance with the terms of the application, Ref 12/00764/F, dated 27 February 2012, subject to the following conditions: .
 - 1) The change of use hereby permitted shall begin not later than three years from the date of this decision.
 - 2) The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plan: 2381 001 Rev A.
 - 3) All new external work and finishes and work of making good shall match existing original work adjacent in respect of materials used, detailed execution and finished appearance except where indicated otherwise on the approved drawing.
 - 4) The flats hereby permitted shall not be occupied until the cycle parking shown on the approved plan has been completed and made available for use. Thereafter the cycle parking shall be kept free from obstruction and available for the parking of cycles only.

Main Issues

2. The main issues are (1) the effect of the development on the mix of housing types in the area, having regard to relevant policies of the development plan; and (2) whether the development would provide adequate living conditions for its occupiers.
3. Although the description of the development in the application refers only to a proposed change of use, it is clear from other information provided with the application and on the submitted drawing that the proposal includes the erection of an extension. At the time of my site visit that extension had already been constructed, and the layout of rooms had been altered to reflect

broadly that shown on the submitted drawings, but the proposed change of use had not taken place.

Reasons

Mix of housing

4. Policy BCS18 of the Bristol Development Framework Core Strategy adopted in June 2011 requires all new residential development to maintain, provide or contribute to a mix of housing tenures, types and sizes to help support the creation of mixed, balanced and inclusive communities. Amongst other matters, the policy requires development to contribute to the diversity of housing in the local area and help to redress any housing imbalance that exists.
5. According to data from the 2001 Census and information provided in the Bristol Residential Development Survey 2011, the proportion of flats in the Gilbert Road neighbourhood area is similar to that in the city as a whole. In the larger Easton Ward within which the site lies the proportion of flats is lower than that in the city as a whole. It is not clear whether these figures take into account the permission granted at 114 Whitehall Road for a change of use from office building to five self-contained flats, but according to the officer report on the appeal application, that permission does not alter the overall proportion of flats to houses in either the ward or the Gilbert Road area. These figures do not suggest that there is a significant oversupply of flats within this area, or that this proposal by itself would result in a material imbalance in the type of housing in the area.
6. The Council commissioned a housing needs and requirements study for the Easton and Lawrence Hill wards of the city which was published in 2007. That study noted that Easton and Lawrence Hill contained a very limited mix of dwelling stock with the owner occupied sector being dominated by two and three-bedroom terraced housing and the social sector containing predominantly one and two bed dwellings.
7. The study identifies a significant requirement for several hundred market sector dwellings with three and four bedrooms. The appeal property was originally a two-bedroom terraced property, and the proposal would result in two flats each with two bedrooms, albeit only single bedrooms. It would not, therefore, have any effect on the requirement in the area for larger family dwellings. In addition, the proposal would increase the mix of housing types in an area with a very limited mix of dwelling stock, thus according with the policy aim of contributing to the diversity of housing in the area.
8. The Council has expressed concern at the incremental loss of terraced family homes to small flats unsuitable for family occupation. However, the details provided by the Council concerning permissions granted for flats and flat conversions in the area do not suggest that, currently, there is a significant trend towards conversions of dwellings into flats in the local area. Taking all these matters into account, I conclude that the proposal would not unacceptably harm the mix and balance of housing in the area, and would not materially harm or undermine the aims of policy BCS18.

Living conditions

9. The submitted drawings show that the two flats would each have two bedrooms. These are shown on the drawings as being single bedrooms. In addition, there would be a living room incorporating kitchen facilities, and a separate bathroom. The Council says its Space Standards Practice Note requires dwellings to provide a minimum of two bed spaces (but not two bedrooms), and requires two bed space dwellings to be at least 45 square metres in area. These flats would measure 46 square metres and so would meet the requirements of the Practice Note.
10. The Council has said that the flats would be limited to having only single bedrooms and would provide a cramped living environment and would not be capable of accommodating families. However, a similar argument might be made in respect of 1-bedroom flats with one double bedroom, which would be no more flexible – and arguably less – in terms of accommodation than what is proposed here. As the amount of space overall would accord with the Practice Note, and given that occupiers would be able to use the space provided as they wished as the internal arrangement of the flats would not be subject to planning control, I consider that the amount of space provided in the flats would be reasonably flexible and would not undermine the aims of policy BCS18.
11. The Council has also expressed concern about the practicality of the cycle storage arrangements. The upstairs flat would have cycle storage in a purpose-built store in the lobby. But although it would take up space on the ground floor, there is no indication that the ground floor flat would not meet the space requirements of the Practice Note. The ground floor flat's cycle store would be in the rear garden, and although that would necessitate cycles being taken through the flat that is not indicative of an unacceptably cramped form of development. The submitted drawings show that bins would be stored in the small front garden, which reflects the original situation. There would be adequate space for recycling storage in the front and rear garden areas.
12. A neighbour has expressed concern that a window in the rear extension, serving a bedroom, would be looked into from the next door kitchen window. But given that views are at least partly obscured by a boundary wall, this would not result in unacceptable living conditions for the occupiers.
13. I conclude that the development would provide adequate living conditions for its occupiers. It would not therefore conflict with policies BCS10 or BCS21 of the Core Strategy, which require development to maximise opportunities for cycling and to provide a high quality environment for future occupiers.

Other matters

14. The issue of potential for transfer of noise between the proposed flats and neighbouring properties is a matter covered by building regulations. The area is well served by public transport and has good cycle links to the city centre, so that residents would not be dependent on the private car for their transport needs. Representations have commented about parking difficulties in the area, but the Council has not objected on these grounds, and parking problems could be addressed by introducing appropriate parking restrictions. Neither these nor any other matters raised leads me to alter my conclusion that the appeal should succeed.

Conditions

15. In addition to the standard commencement condition, a condition is necessary to identify the approved drawing, in order to define the permission and in the interests of proper planning. In order to secure the satisfactory appearance of the development, all new external work should be required to match the existing. The flats should not be occupied until provision to store cycles has been made, and that provision should be kept available thereafter, in order to meet the aims of the development plan to encourage cycling.
16. I am not satisfied that a condition is necessary to prohibit the use of the roof of the extension as a balcony, given that the roof is shown on the drawings as being mono-pitched and not flat. Nor am I satisfied that it is necessary or relevant to planning to require the development to comply with the submitted sustainability statement, as the matters included there appear to be largely covered by building regulation control.
17. Where appropriate I have altered the wording of the conditions suggested by the Council in order to reflect the advice in Circular 11/95 "The use of conditions in planning permissions".

Sara Morgan

INSPECTOR

Present:

Name	Area/ward	4 th	12th
Lori Streich	Ashley	P	P
Sarah Agarwal	Ashley	P	P
Caroline Ennion	Ashley	P	P
Pete Bullard	Ashley	P	P(left 6.30)
Simon Lewis	Ashley	P	P
Simon Chapman		P	P
Derek Williams	Lawrence Hill	P	P
Margaret Hickman	Cllr Lawrence Hill	P	
Cristina Crossingham	Easton	P	P
Richard Curtis	Easton		A
Kate Francis	Easton (also Old Market)	P	
In attendance			
Penny Germon		P	P
Andrew Spicer			P

1. Lori Steich nominated Chair and Peter Bullard Deputy Chair
2. Influence of the group:

The group has most influence over:

Devolved budgets (Councillors make the decisions at the NP)

Aesthetics

Np Priorities

Pedestrian use of land ??? M32? (*wasn't quite sure what this referred to*)

Change of culture – speeding and parking

Cycle lanes

Residents Parking Schemes

Location of pedestrian crossings

Specific changes to roads

Location of bus stops

Pavements including maintenance

Consultation by highways
Planning applications

Some

Enforcing speed limits

Little

Park and Ride

Pollution

Bus Routes & time tables

The priority of the group is:

To improve the quality of life for all people living and working in the NP area to rebalance in favour of traffic and transport solutions that take account of economic, social, leisure, health and wellbeing of residents.

Success:

We will know if solutions are successful by how well they support the economic, social, leisure, health and wellbeing needs of residents.

Priorities are:

- a) Restricting commuter traffic to main/non residential routes
- b) Getting the balance right – ‘through routes’ /residential
- c) Alternative ways of coming in to the city/through traffic
- d) Remove the outgoing bus lane on Church Road in the mornings
- e) Relationships between road users – how do they relate?
Changing the culture so we have shared spaces where speeding and parking are managed.
- f) Change the culture of speed and parking
- g) Deliver and monitor projects. Projects are evidence based.

English about what service residents can expect/ what is routine covering:

Drain clearance – what is the position on this? If people report will it get done? What is the maintenance schedule?

Drains should be cleaned on an annual basis, although at present there is a bit of a backlog. Carillion have employed two additional gangs to catch up.

If people report individual blocked gullies/drains BCC will generally only respond in the case of an emergency. An emergency is when a property is likely to get flooded or if at least half the width of the road is flooded. This is to ensure that gully-cleaning crews are not diverted from their routine cleaning to ensure that they are able to keep up with the annual cycle.

Weed spraying – who is responsible, where does this happen in terms of maintenance and should people report?

BCC (May Gurney) are responsible for weed spraying in public areas and on highways. Parks are responsible for weed spraying on parks land exclusively. The whole City is done twice a year and it does happen ad hoc in problem areas.

People should report it to CSC on 0117 9222100.

Tree pruning – what's the position on this? What happens when there is a clear conflict between light from a lamp post and tree size?

Trees are managed differently depending on which department in the council owns them. Generally trees are inspected every four years but trees on the highway/pavements get the most attention because of health and safety.

BCC policy is to not prune trees for light, but having said that if the crowns are interfering with street lights then it may be possible to prune the trees back.

If trees are growing into street lights report to Customer Services 9222100

Litter and street sweeping – what can residents expect?

A resident can expect their street to be a clear of litter as reasonably possible. An example of B+ Grade is just a few cigarette ends trapped within paving joints or a few pieces of litter along a section of the road. If a resident feels that the road is not to this standard they can report it to CSC on 0117

9222100 or via the web form and the road should be cleansed by the next day or 24 hours.

Grass cutting – what happens when? Is it different for different parks? How do people know what the standard is for their local park/green space?

(needs clarifying)

Grass cutting is approx. every 15 working days. Information on <http://www.bristol.gov.uk/page/recycling-and-waste/grass-cutting>: We cut the grass on land we own to ensure safe use of the city's roads and pavements. Although environmental issues are of paramount concern, grass cutting is carried out for highway safety reasons rather than environmental purposes.

Areas in which the council cuts the grass

The council will cut the grass on all council owned land. The council will not cut the grass on privately owned land or on properties/estates owned by bodies/organisations other than the council

How often will the grass be cut?

Where the council, or its contractor, does cut the grass it will be cut a minimum of 11 times a year from March to November.

Cleaning communal bins – how often? What is the position on smelly bins?

Bristol City Council do not clean communal bins. They are emptied three times a week which should not give anything time to fester in the bins. Waste Contracts have not had any calls to clean any since they have been out on the streets. If however, there was a particular problem we would arrange to take the bin away and where possible replace with another bin.

Ashley, Easton and Lawrence Hill Neighbourhood Partnership ACTION TRACKER 2012-2013
APPENDIX 4


This action tracker is part of the performance management framework for Neighbourhood Partnerships. Its purpose is to monitor actions agreed at the NP - they are completed and the outcome is reported back to the NP in the agreed or reasonable time.

	Date of NP	Action	Action owner	Amount of money committed £	Is this action a devolved budget decision?	Does the action progress the NP action plan?	Does the action progress the Equality Duty?	To be completed by	Who generated the action? Cllrs/other NP members/public	Date completed	Completed within the agreed timescale Yes/no?	Outcome Reported to NP	Date reported to NP
1	3/7	To set up Environment Sub Group and Traffic Transport Sub Group. Terms of ref to be agreed at the next meeting	Penny Germon (PG)					25.9.12	Resident NP	25.9.12	1	Terms of Reference were agreed at the following NP.	25.9.12
2	3/7	Review the two priorities relating to young people following the outcome of the youth links commission. Organise a one-off meeting to consider these priorities with the new provider.	PG Held meeting with youth providers					Jan '13? When the new Youth Links provider is operating	Review proposed by AC. Link with new provider proposed by vol sector.			Meeting with providers set up. In November at quite short notice. No attendance.	12 March
3	3/7	Report to the next NP setting out the legal position regarding the NP/NC	PG					25.9.12	Resident NP	For the 25.9.12	2	Legal position was presented to the NP at the next meeting	25.9.12
4	3/7	Public statement about EDL march to be sent to the Leader of the Council for a response.	Richard Jones			1	1	5.7.12	Resident NP	10.7.12	3	The response from the Leader of the Council Cllr Simon Cook was emailed to NP members prior to the March taking place.	10.7.12
5	3/7	Allocate £38,862 S 106 funding to Brook Street Park with the option to reallocate if the park also receives £110,000 capital investment funding	Richard Fletcher	£38,862 S106	1	2	2		Public	31.7.12	4	Updates re the progress of Brook St Park will be included in the AC report.	3/7
6	3/7	Allocate £20,500 S106 to the Bear pit project	Andrew Whitehead	£20,500	2				Councillors	3/7	5		3/7
7	3/7	Allocate extra £1,200 from devolved budget 2010-2011 to complete Cobourg Rd/Ashley Hill Scheme	Shaun Taylor	£1,200	3			?	Officers				

Ashley, Easton and Lawrence Hill Neighbourhood Partnership ACTION TRACKER 2012-2013

This action tracker is part of the performance management framework for Neighbourhood Partnerships. Its purpose is to monitor actions agreed at the NP - they are completed and the outcome is reported back to the NP in the agreed or reasonable time.



	Date of NP	Action	Action owner	Amount of money committed £	Is this action a devolved budget decision?	Does the action progress the NP action plan?	Does the action progress the Equality Duty?	To be completed by	Who generated the action? Cllrs/other NP members/public	Date completed	Completed within the agreed timescale Yes/no?	Outcome Reported to NP	Date reported to NP
8	3/7	Allocate extra £2,500 from devolved budget 2010-2011 to complete Chelsea/Kilburn Road Scheme	Shaun Taylor	£2,500	4			?	Officers				
9	3/7	Carry out improvement works to Brook Hill, Ashley Ward	Shaun Taylor	£7,000	5			March 2013	Public				
10	3/7	Put the Drummond Road scheme on hold following consultation over the Residents Parking Scheme	Shaun Taylor		6			Jan 2013	Public				
11	3/7	Allocate funding for St Werburghs traffic plan	Shaun Taylor	7,776.65 s106 £500 devolved budget 12/13	7			(only part of the funding needed)?	NP Residents & Public				
12	3/7	Westminster Road area carry out road closures following consultation with local people	Shaun Taylor	£8,500 s106 plus under spend from 11/12	8			March 2013	Public				
13	3/7	Feasibility study of High Street, Easton	Shaun Taylor	£3,000	9			March 2013	Public				
14	3/7	Feasibility Study of Hinton Road, Easton	Shaun Taylor	£5,000	10			March 2013	Public				
15	3/7	Feasibility Study for Mary St, Easton	Shaun Taylor	£3,000	11			March 2013	Public				
16	3/7	Consultation and design for Worsley St/Leonard Road	Shaun Taylor	£5,000	12			March 2013	Public				
17	3/7	Modify waiting restrictions on West St to 2 hours max – put on hold subject to residents parking scheme consultation	Shaun Taylor		13			Jan 2013	Public				
18	3/7	Resident consultation on Goodhind Street feasibility	Shaun Taylor		14			Jan 2013	Public				

Ashley, Easton and Lawrence Hill Neighbourhood Partnership ACTION TRACKER 2012-2013

This action tracker is part of the performance management framework for Neighbourhood Partnerships. Its purpose is to monitor actions agreed at the NP - they are completed and the outcome is reported back to the NP in the agreed or reasonable time.



	Date of NP	Action	Action owner	Amount of money committed £	Is this action a devolved budget decision?	Does the action progress the NP action plan?	Does the action progress the Equality Duty?	To be completed by	Who generated the action? Cllrs/other NP members/public	Date completed	Completed within the agreed timescale Yes/no?	Outcome Reported to NP	Date reported to NP
		commissioned in 2010/2011 and next steps to be put on hold until outcome of consultation on residents parking scheme is known.											
19	3/7	Approved budget for minor lines and signs	Shaun Taylor	£2,250	15			March 2013	BCC Officers				
20	3/7	Approved funding for footway resurfacing schemes at Redcliffe St, Cumberland Street and Hepburn Road/Gwyn St.	Shaun Taylor	£63,000	16			March 2013	BCC Officers				
21	3/7	Approved funding for improvements to Midland Road crossing	Shaun Taylor	£87,848	17			March 2013	Public	July 12	6	Completed	27.11.12
22	3/7	Funding for cycle racks in Queens Square	Shaun Taylor	£1,000	18			March 2013	Officers				
23	3/7	Pedestrian and cycle improvements on Redcliffe St	Shaun Taylor	£5,472.68	19			Oct 12	Officers				
24	3/7	Approve wellbeing small grants	PG	£11,400	20	3	3	March 2013	Public	April 2012			
25	3/7	Approved wellbeing fund for two bus shelters to be installed on Avonvale Road, Lawrence Hill and Ashley Road, Ashley	Ian Maggs/PG	£6,000	21	4	4		Opportunity presented by officers, NP members proposed locations.	24 September 2012	7	Bus shelters installed	27.11.12
26	3/7	Clean and Green decisions – delegate authority to Richard Fletcher. Spend will be agreed by Councillors between meetings via email.	Richard Fletcher		22	5	no	Ongoing	Officers			Ongoing – Np updated through AC reports	27.11.12
27	3/7	Approved the proposed community tree planting plan for Rawnsley Park subject to community consultation.	Richard Fletcher		no	6	no	To be advised	Officers			Consultation by email	
28	3/7	Brook Street Park – approve	Richard	38,862.16	Yes - 23	Yes -7	Yes - 5	March	Residents				

Ashley, Easton and Lawrence Hill Neighbourhood Partnership ACTION TRACKER 2012-2013

This action tracker is part of the performance management framework for Neighbourhood Partnerships. Its purpose is to monitor actions agreed at the NP - they are completed and the outcome is reported back to the NP in the agreed or reasonable time.



	Date of NP	Action	Action owner	Amount of money committed £	Is this action a devolved budget decision?	Does the action progress the NP action plan?	Does the action progress the Equality Duty?	To be completed by	Who generated the action? Cllrs/other NP members/public	Date completed	Completed within the agreed timescale Yes/no?	Outcome Reported to NP	Date reported to NP
		an allocation of £38,862.16 section 106 for capital improvements to Brook Street park if it is needed after the allocation of infrastructure funding from BCC Cabinet.	Fletcher					2013?					
29	25/9	Set up special meeting with Pam Jones to discuss concerns with the contract. BCC to respond to issues raised at the meeting.	Pam Jones/PG		No	8	No	27 th November	Officers	20 th Nov	yes	Meeting held Notes sent out	27.11.12
30	25/9	NP to contribute to neighbourhood working pilot by putting forward priorities and inputting to customer charter	Penny Germon		No	?	No	27 th November?	Officers	27 th Nov	yes	Feedback considered at 27.11.12 NP Not complete (NP wants to see Nhd Charter before it goes out)	See 12 th March
31	25/9	Invite a panel of senior people in key service areas to attend the next NP meeting to talk about service planning and responding to the increase in population and sense of over development in some areas	PG			9	5	27 th November	Public		In part	Gary Collins – Development Management attended NP meeting	27.11.12
32	25/9	Clean and Green Funding – approve weed spraying on Victoria Parade	Richard Fletcher	£100	24	10	no		NP Residents				
33	25/9	Lilia Park Petition – E&L Officers to meet with young people to discuss proposals feedback to next NP with cost implications	Richard Fletcher		Yes	11	6	27 th November	NP Residents			Park visited. NP Agreed to add to investment plan	27.11.12
Quarter 3 – November NP													
1	27.11	NP to agree timescales for	Chair of	0	No	No	No	Ongoing	Officers		Yes		

Ashley, Easton and Lawrence Hill Neighbourhood Partnership ACTION TRACKER 2012-2013

This action tracker is part of the performance management framework for Neighbourhood Partnerships. Its purpose is to monitor actions agreed at the NP - they are completed and the outcome is reported back to the NP in the agreed or reasonable time.



	Date of NP	Action	Action owner	Amount of money committed £	Is this action a devolved budget decision?	Does the action progress the NP action plan?	Does the action progress the Equality Duty?	To be completed by	Who generated the action? Cllrs/other NP members/public	Date completed	Completed within the agreed timescale Yes/no?	Outcome Reported to NP	Date reported to NP
		actions at the meetings to allow for action tracking	NP										
2	27.11	Feedback to BCC on Neighbourhood Charter. NP to see redraft of charter before it goes out	Penny Germon/ Gemma Dando	0	No	No	No	14 th December	NP members	13 th Dec 2012	Yes	Not progressing with the proposed format. Alternatives being presented to 12 th March	12 th March
3	27.11	Feedback on neighbourhood working priorities. BCC to feedback action to be taken	Penny Germon/ Gemma Dando	0	No	??	No	14 th Dec	NP members	13 Dec 2012	Yes		
4	27.11	Voluntary/community groups to be invited to nominate themselves to the NP. Chair, Deputy Chair and Councillor Chair will consider and make recommendations to the NP in March	Penny Germon					12 March 2013	NP Governance	12 th March	yes	NP to elect community and voluntary group members on 12 th March	12 th March
5	27.11	Allocate £1,400 devolved clean and green funding for improved signage in the Lawrence Hill underpass	Richard Fletcher	1,400	1	1	No	12 th March	Public				
6	27.11	Allocate £11,990 to wellbeing small grants	Penny Germon	11,990	2	2	1	13 th Dec	Public	11 th Dec	yes		
7	27.11	Allocate £78,003.85 s106 for improved bus stops on Cheltenham Road	Steve Bird	78,003.85	3	3	2	March 2013	Councillors/officers				
8	27.11	St Pauls Residents Parking Scheme	Shaun Taylor		no	no	No	18 th Dec '12	Councillor	4 th Feb	No	Email sent to NP	12 th March
9.	27.11	Invite the Mayor to a future meeting	Richard Jones					31 st Dec	NP				

Quarter 4 Jan-March (March NP)



Neighbourhood Partnership Review

Neighbourhood Partnerships are currently being reviewed and we would like to hear from our citizens about what they would like more control and responsibility for in their neighbourhoods, and how they would like to take part in local decision making.

We are running a public consultation as part of the review – this will take place between 1st February 2013 and 1st April 2013. There will be plenty of opportunities to take part.

To complete our online survey, go to www.bristol.gov.uk/npask

The Mayor of Bristol is dedicated to giving local communities more influence over their neighbourhoods. One of the ways to do this is by encouraging communities to take more control and responsibility for their local services, instead of just having a 'one size fits all' approach taken centrally by the council.

Bristol's 14 Neighbourhood Partnerships currently make decisions about how council budgets are spent and how local services are delivered at a neighbourhood level. We want to develop our Neighbourhood Partnerships and to bring more influence and decision-making to local communities

In order to do this, we would like to hear from our citizens about what they would like more control and responsibility for in their neighbourhoods, and about how they would like to take part in local decision making.

A wide consultation is underway, with the aim to involve as many stakeholders as possible. There will be three different ways for people to get involved:

1. General survey for all citizens – this will be launched on 1st February 2013 and will run until 1st April 2013. You can complete the survey online, or fill in a paper copy and send it back to us or give it to one of your neighbourhood officers. The survey is available at www.bristol.gov.uk/npask or by contacting your neighbourhood team.
2. City wide consultation meetings for NP members, councillors, forum/subgroup attendees and any other interested citizens.
3. Consultation within neighbourhoods through existing meetings, community engagement work and wider engagement. There is a programme of activities for each neighbourhood.

You can find out more by contacting the neighbourhood partnership team – www.myneighbourhoodbristol.com, neighbourhood.partnerships@bristol.gov.uk, 0117 903 6415.

THIS PAGE HAS BEEN LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY



Neighbourhood Partnership Review – detailed consultation for citywide meetings and local neighbourhood meetings

In general, we are trying to find out:

- What is of real value in the existing approach to NPs?
- What else could NPs do/achieve to contribute to their neighbourhoods and the city? What is possible? What is deliverable?
- What is the role of residents - as NP members and as citizens of their neighbourhoods (including the role in decision makers)? What is the right balance between the role of residents and the role of elected members?

Public survey

- The public survey asks straightforward questions about whether people are already active locally, whether they would like to be, what they would like to influence, and how they would prefer to be involved, including communication methods.
- We would like everyone to fill in a general survey, including those already involved in NPs, forums, subgroups, working groups and other local engagement.

Detailed consultation to explore at local and citywide meetings.

What should be the purpose of the NP?

- What do you think should be devolved to NPs?
- What do you think should be influenced by NPs?
- How do we ensure that the NPs work collaboratively with citywide initiatives (e.g. parks forums, citywide projects)?
- Do NPs need change of status or a more formal status?
- If yes, what for?
- Does the NP need a local priority plan?
- If yes, should there be just one plan per NP which contains everything or different plans for different work areas?
- What else could NPs do that is not directly related to the council (i.e. wider work on improving their local area)?
 - How can this be achieved?
 - What support is needed?

Can we improve the decision making process?

Legal parameters about decision-making are as follows:

- In order to be lawful, elected councillors must make the final decisions about devolved budgets
- Influence on services and priority setting is not an executive function and therefore decisions can be made by all members of the NP

Questions to explore

- What is the right balance between different roles within the NP (councillors, NP members, residents)?
- Do people in NPs understand that the councillors have to make the final decisions about specific devolved council budgets?
- Are NP members involved in this decision-making?

- Are local recommendations and wider community engagement considered when making these budget decisions?
- Should setting priorities be agreed by the neighbourhood committee or the wider neighbourhood partnership?
- Should decisions about influencing services be agreed by the neighbourhood committee or the wider neighbourhood partnership?

What is the best meetings structure (NP / forums / subgroups / working groups)?

- Is the meeting structure right?
- Is the balance between meetings and outreach work (wider community engagement) right?
- Does it need to be more flexible / chosen by the NP?
- Are there right number (4) of decision making meetings/NP meetings per year?
- Do the forums work well for you?
- Do things get done?
- Do the subgroups / working groups work well for you?
- If you were designing the other meetings and had 20 facilitated meetings per year, how would you structure these (i.e. how many forums, how many subgroups etc). Please note the budget remains the same for this work so numbers of meetings can't increase.

How can we improve outreach work, community engagement and communication, and get more people involved in decision-making?

- Do people feel involved in decision-making?
- Is wide community engagement used to inform decisions?
- Are people kept informed?
- How can we communicate better with the general public (using the same resource)?
- How can local networks be better used?
- How can we get more people involved / make it more democratic?
- How can outreach work and formal structures best be integrated?

Current good practice in the NP – what works well for you?

- Do decisions get made?
- Do people feel involved in decision-making?
- Is wide community engagement used to inform decisions?
- Do local priorities get addressed/delivered?

What do we need to do differently?

- How can we reduce bureaucracy?
- Where do you think money is wasted (both in the NP structure and in the council generally) and how do you suggest saving money?
- What can the council do better to meet local needs?



AGENDA ITEM NO . 10

ASHLEY, EASTON & LAWRENCE HILL NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP 12th March 2013

Report of: Penny Germon, Area Coordinator, Neighbourhoods & Communities, Neighbourhoods Directorate.

Title: Devolved Services Report

Contact Telephone Number: 9039879 (or for internal EXTN 39879)

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. To note the update regarding the Lawrence Hill underpass and consider the recommendation (Councillor decision)
2. To consider the recommendations in the Community Infrastructure Levy report.
3. To note the availability of devolved S106 funding.
4. Allocate £18,000 of Wellbeing Fund for 2013/2014 to the small grants Wellbeing Grants Panel (Councillor decision)
5. Allocate £1,500 of the Wellbeing Fund for 2013/2014 to the running of the Stapleton Road Working Group from the Wellbeing Fund (Councillor decision)

1. Clean and Green

Bulb planting (£546.25) - Completed

- 5000 in the Lawrence Hill roundabout and approaches to the underpasses
- 5000 in the St Pauls round about (Ashley/Lawrence Hill) and approaches to the underpasses
- 1000 Clarence Road (Lawrence Hill) grassed area

- 500 - Thompson Road (Lawrence Hill) green space to plant spring bulbs in the space and install a litter bin.

Winter flowering window boxes (£510) - Completed

To revamp the window boxes on some of the side streets off Stapleton Road (Walton Street, Villiers Road, Lansdown Road, part of Felix Road and Part of Chaplin Road).

'Garden of the Four Jewels' St Pauls Gardens (£750.00) – will be completed March/April

Improve the planting at the Garden of the Four Jewels, St Pauls Gardens

Signage at Lawrence Hill underpasses

At the last meeting it was agreed to allocate £1,400 of devolved clean and green funds to improved signage in the central pedestrian area of the Lawrence Hill/Easton Way round about/underpass.

We have since learned that Cycling City have planned to introduce signage in the next financial year. Timetable is not known.

Recommendation

For the funding to remain allocated to the Lawrence Hill underpass and use to enhance what is planned if required.

2. Community Infrastructure Levy Report

To consider the recommendation in the report in appendix 1

3. Section 106 Devolved Funding

See attached schedule of devolved funding in appendix 2 for information. Please note the three contributions highlighted in red have been committed.

4. Wellbeing Fund budget £30,000 for 2013/2014

To allocate funding to small grants and for the running of the Stapleton Road Working Group. The balance can be allocated to specific projects during the year or allocated to the small grants fund.

Recommendation (Councillor decision)

To allocate £18,000 to small grants

To allocate £1,500 to the Stapleton Road Working Group



AGENDA ITEM NO.

Ashley, Easton and Lawrence Hill Neighbourhood Partnership 12th March 2013

Report of: Jim Cliffe, Planning Obligations Manager, Bristol City Council

Title: Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Report

Presented by: Area coordinator

Contact Telephone Number:

Decision for Neighbourhood Committee:

1. **Agree that from 1st January 2013, Ashley, Easton and Lawrence Hill Neighbourhood Partnership accepts responsibility for decisions over the spending of devolved Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) receipts that are received from development taking place within the Neighbourhood Partnership area.**

1. Bristol has now implemented its CIL charges, and the majority of planning permissions for new development will be required to pay CIL. At the same time as implementing CIL, Section 106 has been scaled back to cover affordable housing and site-specific mitigation only. This means that we will no longer be seeking Section 106 contributions for open space.
2. It is important to note that Section 106 contributions secured from development that was granted planning permission before CIL was implemented would still be required to be paid. Consequently, the Council will continue to receive open space contributions for some while yet.
3. Site-specific transport contributions will continue to be sought, and once received they will be devolved to Neighbourhood Partnerships as is currently the case.
4. The Planning Minister recently released a statement regarding the “meaningful proportion” of CIL that is to be devolved to local communities (which in Bristol’s case are the Neighbourhood Partnerships). The statement confirmed that the level of the “meaningful proportion” would be as follows:

- 25% in areas that has a Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP)
 - 15% in all other areas
5. This means that all Partnerships will automatically get 15% of each CIL receipt received from development that takes place in their area. However, where a NDP is in place, the Partnership will receive 25% of each CIL receipt from development that takes place in the NDP area, provided that it was granted permission after the NDP referendum was held.
 6. CIL monies can only be devolved to Neighbourhood Partnerships, and only Neighbourhood Committees can take decisions on how the devolved CIL monies are spent. CIL monies cannot be devolved to groups bringing forward NPD's, as those groups do not have authority to make decisions on the spending of Council funds.
 7. CIL monies are not ring fenced in the same way as Section 106 monies are. They are also not subject to time limits. Draft government regulation states that devolved CIL monies must be used **to support the development of the area**, "by funding-
 - the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure; or
 - anything else that is concerned with addressing the demands that development places on an area".
 8. It is understood that when the regulation becomes law (due in April 2013), government guidance will be produced that may provide clarification on the scope of the regulation. In the meantime, the following table provides examples of schemes that it is considered that devolved CIL monies can and cannot be applied to.

Items CIL can be applied to	Items CIL cannot be applied to
Parks improvements Community Buildings Library improvements Transport schemes Public Rights of Way Street Lighting Maintaining infrastructure (provided that it is in addition to, rather than replacing, the existing maintenance regime)	Local labour and training schemes Employing staff (because continuing CIL receipts cannot be guaranteed) Affordable housing

9. The balance of CIL, i.e. the strategic element that is not devolved, will be allocated to major strategic infrastructure schemes on an annual basis as part of the Capital Programme. This will be done through the annual budget setting process.
10. Information relating to CIL monies will be provided on a monthly basis in the same way that Section 106 information is currently provided.

Equalities impact statement

11. Neighbourhood Partnerships accepting responsibility for decisions over CIL spend is anticipated to have a positive impact on equalities communities as the needs of local people will be taken into account when the money is spent through detailed community engagement. Consideration of the equalities impact of each potential project and scheme that the money may be spent on will take place prior to decisions being made at the neighbourhood partnership meeting.

THIS PAGE HAS BEEN LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

*

C = Committed

Contribution to Benefit to be identified

APPENDIX 2

Ashley Easton and Lawrence Hill Neighbourhood Partnership				
Devolved Section 106 monies held as at 31 December 2012				
Permission / Site / S106 Code	Contact Officer	Current Contribution Value	Date to be Spent / Committed by	Purpose of Contribution
Parks				
07/05704 / 9 to 19 Argyle Road, St. Pauls / ZCD...857	Colleen Bevan (Parks Services Manager)	£1,844.19	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of Argyle Road
08/00733 / 4 Station Road, Montpelier / ZCD...946	Colleen Bevan (Parks Services Manager)	£14,824.15	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of Station Road
08/01460 / 1 Pritchard Street, St. Pauls / ZCD...858	Colleen Bevan (Parks Services Manager)	£9,251.12	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of Pritchard Street
10/03623 / 1 and 2 Wilson Street, St. Pauls / ZCD...954	Colleen Bevan (Parks Services Manager)	£7,927.11	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of Wilson Street
05/04551 / 37 to 39 Sussex Place, St. Pauls / ZCD...961	Colleen Bevan (Parks Services Manager)	£12,042.36	14 Jan 16	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of Sussex Place
06/00460 / Old Bread Street, City Centre / ZCD...569	Colleen Bevan (Parks Services Manager)	£15,078.13	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of Old Bread Street
06/04403 / St. Leonards Road, Easton / ZCD...555	Colleen Bevan (Parks Services Manager)	£5,495.35	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Children's Play Equipment at Rosemary Green, Easton
09/03004 / Winstanley House, Holmes Street, Barton Hill / ZCD...998	Colleen Bevan (Parks Services Manager)	£380.48	21 Sep 16	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of Winstanley House
05/02166 / 13 Redcross Street, Old Market / ZCD...930	Colleen Bevan (Parks Services Manager)	£2,442.56	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of Redcross Street
09/02498 / Pit Pony PH, Easton Road, Easton / ZCD...A18	Colleen Bevan (Parks Services Manager)	£13,218.76	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of the Former Pit Pony PH

C

C

C

Ashley Easton and Lawrence Hill Neighbourhood Partnership				
Devolved Section 106 monies held as at 31 December 2012				
Permission / Site / S106 Code	Contact Officer	Current Contribution Value	Date to be Spent / Committed by	Purpose of Contribution
09/01558 / 32 Old Market Street, Old Market / ZCD...A05	Colleen Bevan (Parks Services Manager)	£13,780.09	30 Sep 16	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of 32 Old Market Street
09/01321 / Waggon and Horses PH, 83 Stapleton Road, Easton / ZCD...A21	Colleen Bevan (Parks Services Manager)	£11,482.83	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of 83 Stapleton Road
09/00913 / 51 Barton Road, The Dings / ZCD...A61	Colleen Bevan (Parks Services Manager)	£6,512.49	No Limit	The provision of improvements to Parks and Open Spaces within one mile of 51 Barton Road
Transport				
03/00519 / Childrens Scrapstore, Sevier Street, St. Werburghs / ZCD...383	Terry Bullock (Traffic Manager)	£7,814.07	No Limit	The provision of Highway / Environmental Improvements in the vicinity of the property.
08/01460 / 1 Pritchard Street, St. Pauls / ZCD...831	Terry Bullock (Traffic Manager)	£9,014.57	No Limit	The provision of traffic management and/or highways measures in the vicinity of Pritchard Street
07/05704 / 9 to 19 Argyle Road, St. Pauls / ZCD...852	Terry Bullock (Traffic Manager)	£5,054.99	No Limit	The provision of traffic management and/or highways measures in the vicinity of Argyle Road
06/03826 / 39 Cooperage Road, Pile Marsh / ZCD... 505	Terry Bullock (Traffic Manager)	£16,674.17	No Limit	The upgrading of the road closure at the end of Cooperage Road to incorporate pedestrian improvements in the form of new tactile paving and bollards, and the introduction of parking restrictions to prevent parking in the turning area at the head of Cooperage Road
00/03409 / Kentucky Fried Chicken, Avonmeads Retail Centre, St. Philips / ZCD...187	Terry Bullock (Traffic Manager)	£13,743.66	1 Mar 07	The provision by the Council of traffic signals and associated works allowing for improved cyclist and pedestrian movements across Albert Road.
01/01606 / Temple Quay North, Avon Street, City Centre / ZCD...289	Terry Bullock (Traffic Manager)	£39,838.04	20 Apr 09	Towards the cost of developing and implementing a Safer Routes to School strategy for Hannah More School.

C

C

C

C

C

Ashley Easton and Lawrence Hill Neighbourhood Partnership

Devolved Section 106 monies held as at 31 December 2012

Permission / Site / S106 Code	Contact Officer	Current Contribution Value	Date to be Spent / Committed by	Purpose of Contribution
04/01279 / Former FPS Site, Waterloo Road, Old Market / ZCD...866	Terry Bullock (Traffic Manager)	£54,917.88	8 Dec 14	Environmental improvement works to Waterloo Street and its vicinity
03/02621 / 24 to 30 West Street, Old Market / ZCD...856	Terry Bullock (Traffic Manager)	£12,286.46	3 Oct 19	The cost of carrying out environmental improvement works to Waterloo Street and its environs
04/04880 / Drill Hall, Jacob Street, Old Market / ZCD...740	Terry Bullock (Traffic Manager)	£1,511.82	No Limit	The reinstatement of kerbs, cobbles and yellow lines on Jacob Street.
06/03069 / Plot ND10/11, Temple Quay North, Avon Street, City Centre / ZCD... 475	Terry Bullock (Traffic Manager)	£37,587.92	No Limit	The provision of traffic management and/or highway measures associated with the implementation of on-street waiting restrictions for the Temple Quay North development and for the adjoining residential areas of The Dings and St. Philips
97/01336 / Williams Automobiles, Albert Road, St. Philips / ZCD...140	Terry Bullock (Traffic Manager)	£60,970.85	No Limit	The provision by the Council of traffic signals and associated works allowing for improved cyclist and pedestrian movements across Albert Road and improvements to the cyclepaths and walkways adjoining or in the vicinity of the Site.
06/05500 / Seymours Club, Barton Vale, The Dings / ZCD...587	Terry Bullock (Traffic Manager)	£10,844.72	No Limit	The provision of safer pedestrian access to and from the proposed development in association with the Hannah More Safer Routes to School project
06/00460 / Old Bread Street, City Centre / ZCD...570	Terry Bullock (Traffic Manager)	£82,969.98	No Limit	The provision of works to the highway, to include upgrading of the footway on Old Bread Street, improvements to street lighting in the vicinity of the site, and improvements to the access between Old Bread Street and Broad Plain to serve the needs of pedestrians and cyclists
11/01099 / Saffron Gardens, Prospect Place, Whitehall / ZCD...982	Terry Bullock (Traffic Manager)	£20,065.25	No Limit	The provision of pedestrian improvements within one kilometre of Saffron Gardens
11/00926 / Bristol Language Centre, 3 Portwall Lane, Redcliffe / ZCD...987	Terry Bullock (Traffic Manager)	£1,002.86	No Limit	The provision of 5 Sheffield Cycle Racks in the vicinity of the development

C

C

£8,500 C

C

Ashley Easton and Lawrence Hill Neighbourhood Partnership				
Devolved Section 106 monies held as at 31 December 2012				
Permission / Site / S106 Code	Contact Officer	Current Contribution Value	Date to be Spent / Committed by	Purpose of Contribution
10/01257 / Travelodge, Mitchell Lane, Redcliffe / ZCD...994	Terry Bullock (Traffic Manager)	£44,287.53	No Limit	The provision of traffic management and/or highways measures in the vicinity of the Travelodge on Mitchell Lane. These measures can include the proposed King Street Bridge (SEE NOTE BELOW)
09/03004 / Winstanley House, Holmes Street, Barton Hill / ZCD...999	Terry Bullock (Traffic Manager)	£38,221.54	21 Sep 16	The provision of traffic management and / or highways measures on Queen Ann Road, Goulter Street and/or Holmes Street in accordance with Drawing T226-202. Please note that an electronic copy of this drawing has been forwarded to Penny Germon (Neighbourhood Co-ordinator)
11/03785 / 1 Victoria Street, Redcliffe / ZCD...A75	Terry Bullock (Traffic Manager)	£35,113.02	No Limit	The provision of traffic management and/or highways measures in the vicinity of 1 Victoria Street. These measures can include the proposed King Street Bridge (SEE NOTE BELOW)
07/02036 / Beaufort House & Harwood House, Barton Hill / ZCD...A20	Geoff Mills (Public Transport Manager)	£11,257.94	No Limit	The provision bus stop improvements for the nearby stops on the Number 36 bus route
Other				
07/01858 / Plot ND2 (The Eye), Temple Quay North, City Centre / ZCD...A84	Kate Murray (Head of Libraries)	£16,682.88	19 Oct 22	The provision, improvement and / or maintenance of local library facilities

NOTE

The Partnership are requested to take a decision on whether they wish to spend the contributions highlighted in blue, on local traffic management / highway measures, or whether they wish them to be applied to the King Street Bridge. If the former, then the contributions will remain devolved, however if the latter then they will move into the non-devolved spreadsheet. It should be noted that if King Street Bridge is to be implemented it is likely to require every available Section 106 contribution to be applied to it, as no other funding has currently been identified for its delivery.